

SETI with SKA

Alan J. Penny

*Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Chilton, Didcot OX11 0QX,
United Kingdom*

Abstract.

SETI with SKA would be by far the most powerful SETI search ever undertaken, covering enough stars with enough sensitivity to probe significantly further towards those other Earth civilisations than previous ones. This paper discusses the rationale behind radio SETI searches, and explains why SKA will be such a big step forward and will make few demands on telescope time.

1. Introduction

This paper discusses the use of SKA in the search for extra-terrestrial intelligence (SETI). It assumes a SKA with a collecting area of a square kilometre, and an angular resolution of the individual antennae of about 3 degrees, with the synthesised beam having arcmin resolution. It is thus not concerned with the extended baseline components. The spectral range is assumed to cover 2 cm to 1 metre, with the long wavelength limit determined by the value of covering wavelengths used by powerful terrestrial transmitters. The need for a radio-quiet location is strong.

2. What would SETI with SKA look for?

SETI looks for extra-terrestrial intelligence, but what sort of intelligence?

Intelligence can be defined in many ways and covers wide ranges of life. Intelligence defined as life capable of ‘problem solving’ would include bacteria and dinosaurs, but neither are the sort of SETI intelligence we mean. Intelligence as life with ‘tool making and using’ would include crows, apes and Neanderthal man, but again these do not seem to be suitable as SETI targets. Intelligence as life with a ‘technology-based civilisation’ limits us to the appearance of Cro-Magnon man, and even here we have to be careful. The evidence for Cro-Magnon civilisation before about 7,000BC mainly rests on cave paintings, and it has been pointed out (Humphrey, 1998) that these bear many similarities to the art of some autistic children and thus could indicate a ‘civilisation’ lacking in many of the qualities of what we would consider as a ‘civilisation’. It might seem that we would be safe after 7,000BC, with the civilisations of the Near-East, but even here it has been controversially postulated (Jaynes, 1990) that it was not until about 1000BC that clear evidence for civilisations like our own can be

found. An example of the reasons adduced for such a limitation is that while the technology-based civilisation of Ancient Egypt certainly knew about the 3,4,5 rule for right-angled triangles, there is no evidence for any comprehension of a science behind this geometry. It seems that we can only be safe by saying ‘life with the characteristics of Homo Sapiens civilisations over the last 3000 years’.

Fortunately, for SKA we can be even more restrictive. SKA would only be able to find civilisations that emit radio waves which are distinguishable from those from natural sources. This is not to say that other types of civilisations are not of interest, just that radio telescopes could not find them. So for SKA we define SETI as the search for ‘civilisations that emit radio waves which are distinguishable from those from natural sources’.

Present ‘radio SETI’ searches discriminate between natural and ETI emission by looking for very narrow (about 1Hz) band emission. Not only is such radiation unlikely to be naturally produced, but we know that our own civilisation radiates such signals. TV stations have a narrow-band carrier wave of this frequency narrowness, with the actual signal spread over a MHz about that. About 1/3rd of the power, or typically 200kW, is in this carrier wave, at frequencies of the order of 0.5GHz. Thus we at least know that civilisations can emit such signals, strong enough to be detectable.

3. Is this type of radio SETI the best SETI search method?

Would it be better to look for optical emissions? For the thermal signatures of Dyson spheres? Should we look for coded radio signals? The question can be sorted into two cases, civilisations like our own and civilisations unlike our own. For the first case, narrow-band radio emission is indeed the strongest artificial signal from us, and so this type of radio SETI is best. Further, we can at least make some discussion of the possible number of such civilisations. For the second case, as we cannot even make a sensible discussion of the likelihood of different types of civilisations and their emissions, then no type of SETI search can be classed as ‘better’ than any other. Radio SETI would be just as good as any other type.

Thus, overall, radio SETI is the best method, because it alone looks for both known and unknown types of civilisations. However, there is certainly a case that other types of searches would be of value, as they will seek other classes of unknown civilisations, on the basis of ‘if you don’t look, you won’t find’.

4. What are the chances of a radio SETI-type civilisation existing?

Civilisations that emit radio waves could conceivably come in many forms, such as combinations of whirling mini-Black Holes or structures in interstellar clouds. However, as described above, we have no understanding of such forms, and so can make no sensible discussion on the probability of their occurrence.

We do know something about our own intelligence, so can we deduce anything about the chances of similar civilisations appearing elsewhere? Unfortunately, it seems that even here only a rough discussion can be done. We don’t understand how probable it is that life starts on an Earth, or how, having started, what the probability is that it leads to a civilisation. [This omits

the deeper problem that we don't even understand what life and intelligence 'are'. For an exploration of this problem, see e.g. Cairns-Smith (1998).] There are many opposing points of view on these probabilities (see e.g. Ward and Brownlee, 2003a and 2003b).

First of all, we have to have a planet like the Earth with life on it. We do not yet know how common such Earths are. Recent searches have found gas giant planets orbiting other stars, but are not powerful enough to detect terrestrial planets, and the formation theories (e.g. Wetherill, 1996) for such planets contain many unknowns. But the Eddington ¹ and Kepler ² space telescopes in this decade will determine the frequency of occurrence of terrestrial planets. Having got an Earth, what are the chances of it developing life? We do not understand how life starts, but much information on the frequency of simple life will come in the next decade from the Mars missions and from the Darwin/TPF ^{3 4} space telescope for Earths orbiting other stars.

Having got an Earth with life, what are the chances of a civilisation? Here we have neither a theoretical understanding nor a non-SETI way of making an estimate. We know (see e.g. Ward and Brownlee, 2003a) that life started early on our Earth, within about 0.5 Gyr after its birth, and that our civilisation has occurred close to the end of the period when life can exist on the planet's surface (see e.g. Ward and Brownlee, 2003b). These timescales are compatible with a number of cases. It would fit for simple life being common, but that the average timescale for civilisations is comparable with the lifetime of stars and thus that (say) from 10% to 90% of suitable planets have a civilisation phase. It is, statistically speaking, more compatible with simple life being common, but civilisations taking a very long time to arise, and thus being very rare. It is even compatible with both simple life and civilisations being rare. The most uncertain part of this is the problem that we only know about life and civilisations here on Earth because we are around to observe them. This 'Anthropic Principle' complication is well covered in Bertola and Curi (1993).

Thus we cannot at present reliably estimate the probability that a star will have an Earth-like planet where life will reach a civilisation phase.

Moreover, we do not know how long such a civilisation phase would last on average. We know that we have been emitting radio waves for about 100 years. How long will this phase last? Clearly we cannot estimate this, but a hand-waving argument might go that judging by the changes in technologies in the past we will move onto another phase no later than (say) 1000 years in the future. It seems unlikely that we have now reached the ultimate technology in communications. Such a brief timescale means that, as stars live for billions of years, even if each has a planet that reaches a civilisation phase, only one in a million stars will have a planet in that phase at any one time.

¹<http://sci.esa.int/home/eddington/index.cfm>

²<http://www.kepler.arc.nasa.gov>

³<http://sci.esa.int/home/darwin/index.cfm>

⁴http://planetquest.jpl.nasa.gov/Navigator/tpf_nav.cfm

There is a further complication – the Fermi Paradox. If our civilisation is not the only one in our Galaxy, then it seems inescapable that advanced civilisations will have developed the ability, and have had enough time, to come here or make their presence known, very soon after the start of their ‘radio wave-emitting civilisation’ phase. As not one seems to have done so, then either we are alone, or there is some ‘Cosmic Zoo’ that prevents the existence of such civilisations from being known by us. This Zoo might even prevent us from seeing ‘unadvanced’ civilisations in our own phase. Many possible reasons and mechanisms for such a zoo have been proposed, some of which would rule out any SETI search succeeding, and some of which only explain the previous null results from SETI searches. However, none of these solutions seem to be inescapably valid. So we have to leave the paradox unresolved, with a mental note that, on the face of it, it points to us being alone.

5. Other radio SETI searches

Radio SETI searches first started in 1960s, but the most powerful searches have been the BETA all-sky survey, and the Phoenix targeted search. BETA (Leigh, 1998) used a 26-metre dish, covering 1.42-1.72 GHz with a resolution of 0.5Hz. It showed that in the northern half of the sky there was no narrow-band source, with the brightness of a typical Earth TV station, within 0.05 pc. The ongoing Phoenix search (Backus et al, 2001), using a variety of telescopes from 50 to 300-metres, has looked at 1000 stars covering a band from 1 to 3 GHz, and again found no source such as bright as an Earth TV station at 0.5pc (although of course the stars surveyed were all more distant than that).

The privately funded Allen Telescope Array (ATA) (Tarter, J. et al, 2002), operational in 2005, with a collecting area of one hectare, will cover 100,000 stars over a 0.5GHz band, with a sensitivity equal to the Phoenix search

6. SETI with SKA

SKA, like ATA, will use the fact that, in the 3-degree FOV of the single elements, up to 10 1-arcmin beams can be synthesised and 10 stars observed. This can be going on, ‘piggy-back’, while the astronomy target is observed. Thus apart from the cost of the synthesiser, with its multiple target, wide band, narrow bandwidth, coverage, the SETI search comes for free. Of course, not all the sky will be covered with the astronomy pointings, so some dedicated fraction of observing time may also be needed to cover nearby, or specially interesting, stars.

The default program is to have receivers with 0.01Hz frequency bins covering the 0.5-10 GHz band. This band is the quiet spectral region between the non-thermal galactic background and the atmospheric emission bands. With 100 seconds on each target (if the astronomy observation lasts longer, then new beams are made and new stars looked at), and 3 re-looks per star (to deal with false alarms), then one million stars can be observed within 10 years, with a sensitivity to see that Earth TV station at 3 pc.

The main gains with SKA are the ability to cover one million stars, with an increased sensitivity. As discussed above, this means that for the first time

we would have some chance of catching a civilisation in its presumably brief radio-emitting phase. This chance will depend on the extent to which stars have habitable Earths, the extent to which each develop a civilisation, and the extent to which civilisations have radio emitters significantly more powerful than present day Earth ones (as practically all the SKA SETI stars will be significantly further away than 3 pc).

SETI with SKA will be by far the most powerful SETI search ever undertaken, covering enough stars with enough sensitivity to probe significantly further towards those ‘Earth’-type civilisations. And also do a wider and deeper for search for those ‘other’-type civilisations.

Acknowledgments. I am grateful for information and advice from Jill Tarter and Ian Morrison.

References

- Backus, P.R. and Phoenix Project Team, 2001. American Astronomical Society Meeting 198, #75.04 (abstract)
- Bertola, F. and Curi, U., 1993. “The Anthropic Principle: Proceedings of the Venice Conference on Cosmology and Philosophy”, (eds) Cambridge University Press:
- Cairns-Smith, A. G., 1998. “Evolving the Mind: On the Nature of Matter and the Origin of Consciousness” Cambridge University Press.
- Humphrey, N., 1998. Cambridge Archaeological Journal, 8:2, pp 165-191.
- Jaynes, J., 1990. “The origin of consciousness in the breakdown of the bicameral mind”, Houghton Mifflin.
- Leigh, D. L., 1998. PhD thesis, Harvard University
- Tarter, J. C., Blitz, L.; ATA Team, Paul G. Allen Foundation Collaboration, 2002. American Astronomical Society Meeting 201, #151.08 (abstract)
- Ward, P. and Brownlee, D., 2003a. “?Rare Earth: Why Complex Life Is Uncommon in the Universe”, Springer-Verlag New York Inc.; ISBN: 0387952896
- Ward, P. and Brownlee, D., 2003b. “?The Life and Death of Planet Earth: How the New Science of Astrobiology Charts the Ultimate Fate of Our World”, Times Books; ISBN: 0805067817
- Wetherill, G., 1996. Icarus, 119, 219.

.